

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT TOOL

The council has a statutory duty to consider the impact of its decisions on age, disability, gender reassignment, pregnancy & maternity, race, religion or belief, sex (gender) and sexual orientation.

The Council also has a duty to foster good relations between different groups of people and to promote equality of opportunity.

Completing an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) is the **simplest way** to demonstrate that the Council has considered the equality impacts of its decisions and it reduces the risk of legal challenge. EIAs should be carried out at the **earliest stages** of policy development or a service review, and then updated as the policy or review develops. EIAs must be undertaken when it is possible for the findings to inform the final decision. Keep all versions of your EIA. An EIA should be finalised once a final decision is taken.

When should you undertake an EIA?

- You are making changes that will affect front-line services
- You are reducing the budget of a service, which will affect front-line services
- You are changing the way services are funded and this may impact the quality of the service and who can access it
- You are making a decision that could have a different impact on different groups of people
- You are making staff redundant or changing their roles (particularly if it impacts on frontline services).
- EIAs also need to be undertaken on how a policy is implemented even if it has been developed by central government (for example cuts to grant funding)
- Section 1 of the EIA Tool: Initial Screening, will help you decide whether a full EIA is necessary

Who should undertake the EIA?

The person who is making the decision or advising the decision-maker

Further Guidance

- Step-by-Step Guidance to the questions
- An EIA e-learning module is available for all Westminster staff: www.learningpool.com/westminster/course/view.php?id=159

Please contact the Equalities lead to inform them when you begin and then complete an EIA: equalities@westminster.gov.uk

SEB will monitor compliance with the requirement to complete EIAs.

Title of Proposal

This EIA covers three closely related ASC Westminster Savings proposals;

- 3.1 Commissioning Transformation and Contract Efficiencies including work with public health and children's services.
- 3.3ii Commissioned well-being and prevention services including AT.
- 3.16 Re-provide or refund the shopping Service

Lead Officer

i. Full Name: Selina Douglas

ii. Position: Director, ASC Commissioning and Enterprise

iii. Department: Adult Social Care

iv. Contact Details: 020 7641 2165, selina.douglas@lbhf.gov.uk

Has this project, policy or proposal had an EIA carried out on it previously? If yes, please state date of original and append to this document for information.

Yes

Date of original EIA: 18th October

Version number and date of update

Version 2.0:

11th November 2015

SECTION 1: Initial screening: Do you need to complete an Equality Impact Assessment (EIA)?

Not all proposals will require an EIA, this initial screening will help you decide if your project or policy requires a full EIA by looking at the potential impact on any equality groups.

1.1 What are you analysing?

Reduction of the cost of Adult social care services currently commissioned through external providers. The following dual approach is being taken Contract Efficiencies (continuation of work undertaken in 2015/16 and Transformational Re-Commissioning.

This proposal has been developed substantially over 2015/16 following initial review and efficiency management work undertaken in 2015. This has concluded that the scope of further savings through efficiencies (i.e. negotiations on price and service levels and harmonising contracts) will not meet the full savings targets. A more transformational approach is also required. This will apply a progressive strategy including outcomes based commissioning, personalisation, joint commissioning and lead provider partnerships. The approach will be applied across key customer pathways that are linked to portfolios of contracts e.g. prevention services. A second level review to validate the scope for contract

efficiencies across all Adult Social Care provider contracts and set out a programme for transformational re-commissioning is underway and is due for completion in mid Feburary 2016. Following this a detailed procurement plan will be developed by the end of March 2016.

Savings in 2016-18 will be more focused on the transformational approach described. Work with Public Health and Children's Services will be included in the next level analysis and planning process described.

Efficiency work has involved.

- Renegotiation of residential and nursing placements that have been let as 'spot' contracts
- ii. Renegotiation and contract variation on existing framework and block contracts
- iii. Re-procurement of services on a tri-borough basis. This will include:
 - Benchmarking against the market to ensure contracts represent the best value for money and are competitively priced,
 - Renegotiation of contract terms and re-procurement of services where necessary to secure the best value and minimise concentration of risk,
 - Reduction in the number of contracts to ensure these can be effectively managed within available contract management resources,
 - Harmonisation of contract management processes and systems to deliver staffing efficiencies.
- 1.2 Does the project, policy or proposal have the potential to <u>disproportionately</u> impact on any of the following groups? If so, is the impact positive or negative?

Efficiency work: disproportionate impact is not expected as there is a commitment to maintaining or improving service levels. Any negotiations on price or service level that are related to the provision of culturally specific services will be negotiated with a commitment to maintaining aspects that meet specific cultural needs.

Transformation work: Position is not clear; each transformational recommission will required its own detailed EIA and the programme will not be established until Q4 of 2015/16. The focus will be on continuation of services that deliver priority outcomes.

	None	Positive	Negative	Not sure
Disabled people	Х			
Particular ethnic groups	Х			
Men or women (include impacts due to pregnancy/ maternity)	Х			
People or particular sexual orientation/s	Х			
People who are proposing to undergo, are undergoing or have undergone a process or part of a process of gender	Х			

	reassignment				
	People on low incomes	Х			
	People in particular age groups	Х			
	Groups with particular faiths and beliefs	Х			
	Are there any other groups that you think may be affected negatively or positively by this project, policy or proposal?				
	communities will be?		THE RESERVE TO SERVE	x ates to the	
	None or minimal impact would no negative impact identified, o will be no change to the service Wherever a negative impact ha you should consider undertakin completing the rest of the form	or where there is for any grou is been identifi ig a full EIA by	curren what deliver ps. effice	t scope of is being ered i.e. iencies.	
	Using the screening and in assessment be carried out	formation i			should a full
		proach belo		r proposar:	
Í	How have you come to thi There is no value in undertak		rching EIA fo	r this proposa	I at this stage.
	This is because;				

of individual contracts. Specific attention will be paid to negotiations that are focused on

An EIA may be required for each major re-commissioning projecte that is agreed within the above noted detailed procurement plan by end March 2016. The plan will be sectioned into four themes; front door and prevention, reablement, community based care and support

services that aim to meet culturally specific needs and/or where service levels are

services and accommodation based care and support services.

substantially reduced.

Δ

SECTION 2: EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT Building an Evidence Base: What do you know?

This section will help you build your evidence base and interpret what the likely impact will be of your service.

Sections 2 - 5 will be completed on a case-by-case basis, as individual contracts are reviewed and re-commissioning projects are planned. The following sections have not been completed.

2.1	are likely to be impacted by the p	
		about a particular group then use the results of local surveys
		onal trends or anecdotal evidence (indicate where this is the
1 000	case). Please attempt to complete (all boxes.
	How many people use the service	
	currently? What is this as a % of	
	Westminster's population?	
	Disabled people	
	Double of building and the	
	Particular ethnic groups	
	Men or women (include impacts due to pregnancy/maternity)	
	People of particular sexual orientations	
	People who are proposing to	
	undergo, are undergoing or have	
	undergone a process or part of a	
	process of gender reassignment	
	People on low incomes	Early Inc. of the Control of the Con
-	People in particular age groups	
	Groups with particular faiths and beliefs	
	Any other groups who may be	
	affected by the proposal?	

2.2	Summary (to be completed following	owing analysi	s of the evider	nce above)	A
	Does the project, policy or proposal have the potential to have a disproportionate impact on any of the following groups? If so, is the impact positive or negative?	None	Positive	Negative	Not sure
	Disabled people				
	Particular ethnic groups				
	Men or women (include impacts due to pregnancy/maternity)				

People of particular sexual orientations		
People who are proposing to undergo, are undergoing or have undergone a process or part of a process of gender reassignment		
People on low incomes		
People in particular age groups		
Groups with particular faiths and beliefs		
Are there any other groups that you think this proposal may affect negatively or positively?		

SECTION 3: Assessing Impact

In order to be able to identify ways to mitigate any potential impact it is essential that we know what those potential impacts might be.

3.1	Consultation Information This section should record the consultat policy or proposal i. Who have you consulted with?	ion activity undertaken in relation to this project,
		ing dates, activity undertaken & groups consulted)
3.2	What might the potential impact Consider disability, race, gender, sexual those on low incomes and other exclude	orientation, transgender, age, faith or belief and
	Generic impact (across all groups)	
	Men or women (include impacts due to pregnancy/maternity)	
	People of particular sexual orientation	
	People who are proposing to undergo, are undergoing or have undergone a process or part of a process of gender reassignment	
	Disabled people	
	Particular ethnic groups	
	People on low incomes	
	People in particular age groups	
	Groups with particular faiths and beliefs	
	Other excluded individuals and	

1.1	Where you have identified an impact, what can be done to reduce or mitigate				
	the impact? (Remember to think	about the Council as a	a whole, another :	service area may	
4	already be providing services which	h can help to deal with	any negative imp	pact).	
	Impact 1: [Insert impact here]	Consider options impact	as to what we car	ı do to reduce th	
	Impact 2: [Insert impact here]				
-	Impact 3: [Insert impact here]				
	Impact 4: [Insert impact here]			0.22	
	Impact 5: [Insert impact here]				
				1.00000	
.2	Now that you have considere	ed the potential or	actual effect o	n equality, wh	
	action are you taking?				
	No major change (no impacts iden	tified)			
	Adjust the policy				
	Continue the policy (impacts ident	ified)			
	Stop and remove the policy				
.3	Please document the reasons	s for your decision	March 15, 41 may		
1.4	How will the impact of the pr	roject, policy or pro	pposal and any	changes made	
	to reduce the impact be mon	itored?			
				48	
			400		
.5	Conclusion				
	This section should record the over taken to reduce/mitigate impact	raii impact, who wiii be	: impactea upon a	ına the steps beli	

groups

SECTION 5: Next Steps

5.1	Action Plan Complete the action gaps. NB. Add any additio		reduce or remove the n	egative impacts you i	have identified,
	Action Required	Equality Groups Targeted	Intended Outcome	Resources Needed	Name of Lead Unit & Contac Details
1	Review EIAs required following the development and agreement of a detailed procurment plan (including whether the shopping service will be re- provided or re-	All	Avoid or limit adverse impact and ensure diversity of needs are understood and met.	In place	Helen Worwoo Interim AD ASC Commissionin

5.2 Ri	5.2 Risk Table				
Ref	Risk	Impact	Actions in place to mitigate the risk	Current risk score	Further actions to be developed
R1.1	[Enter risk here]	[Enter here the likely impact if the risk came to pass]	[Record here any actions already in place to reduce the risk]	[Using the key below, enter the current risk score]	[Enter here any actions that can be developed in future to reduce the risk identified]
1	Scale of savings required means that cuts to services may be required.	Critical	Focus on all opportunities to assure continuity of services to deliver priority outcomes	12	
2	Value of culturally specific services may not be aligned to additional costs.	Critical	Clear negotiation, robust consultation and negotiation to assure continuity of vital services at the right price.	o	



THIS SECTION TO BE COMPLETED BY THE RELEVANT SERVICE MANAGER	
Signature: M. KUO	
Full Name: Mike Boyle, Interim Director of Commissioning	
Unit: Adult Social Gre, Commissioling.	
Joint Market State of the State	
Email & Telephone Ext: mike.boyle@lbhf.gov,uk	
Data of Completion (15/10/15) 28 (

WHAT NEXT?

Please email your completed EIA to the Equalities Lead: equalities@westminster.gov.uk